[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: 02 September 2026 20:58
To: Crown Casework
Subject: Urgent objection to the Urgent Crown Development application – MOD Bicester (Site A) - Reference - PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843

Categories: RE

Não costuma receber e-mails de [redacted] Saiba por que motivo isto é importante

Dear [Minister / MHCLG Planning Casework Team / Home Office Asylum Accommodation Team],

I am writing as a resident of [redacted] in immediate proximity to the former MOD Bicester site, to lodge my strongest objection to the Urgent Crown Development application to use Site A for asylum accommodation for up to 1,250 single adult men for a minimum of 10 years.

While I understand the national pressure on asylum accommodation, this proposal—on its current terms and timeline—will effectively trap existing homeowners in our villages for a decade or more, with no realistic ability to sell or move. That is not fair, and it is not proportionate.

My reasons for objecting are:

1. Market paralysis before the site even opens
   Professional cash buyers that normally purchase "any house" at 15–20% below market value have already refused to make an offer on my home—even at a 20% discount—solely because of the Site A proposal. Their stated reason was lender caution and location risk. If discounted cash buyers will not touch properties here now, ordinary homeowners face a market that is effectively closed.

2. High-LTV mortgages already being declined locally
   Residents report that some lenders are already declining high loan-to-value mortgages (for example 95%) for properties in [redacted] and surrounding villages due to the Site A proposal. This blocks first-time buyers and families who rely on high-LTV products, shrinking the pool of potential purchasers to near zero.

3. Long-term blight with no exit
   The scheme is intended to run for a minimum of 10 years. For households that need to move for work, family, or financial reasons, this creates a decade-long trap. Our housing wealth—the main asset many of us rely on—is being rendered illiquid before the site is operational. That is an unacceptable burden to place on existing communities without consent or mitigation.

4. Lack of meaningful consultation and mitigation
   Local residents were not properly consulted before this proposal was announced. We now face a fast-tracked "urgent" process that treats our homes and livelihoods as expendable. If the Government proceeds, it must at least accept that it is imposing severe, long-term harm on current homeowners and set out concrete support and compensation—not vague assurances

I respectfully request that you:

- Refuse the Urgent Crown Development application for Site A in its current form, or pause it pending full, meaningful consultation with affected residents and a proper assessment of local housing-market impacts.

- Require the Home Office and MHCLG to publish a detailed mitigation plan for existing homeowners, including support for those whose properties become unmortgageable or unsellable as a direct result of this decision.

- Clarify whether the Government accepts that, in practical terms, local properties are now at serious risk of becoming unmortgageable for many buyers, and what compensation or buy-out mechanisms will be offered if that proves to be the case.

Our communities are not opposed to helping people seeking asylum in principle. We are opposed to a plan that sacrifices our homes, our ability to move, and our financial security without consent, without consultation, and without a credible plan to protect us.

Please treat this letter as a formal objection to the planning application and record it accordingly. I would be grateful for a written acknowledgment and for confirmation of how my objection will be considered in the decision-making process.

Yours faithfully,

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]